

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/479,040 | 01/07/2000 | MAURA C. CANNON | MOBT:212/KAM | 2537 |
| 75 | 590 03/04/2002 | | | |
| PATREA L. PABST | | | EXAMINER | |
| HOLLAND & KNIGHT, LLP 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET | | | CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K | |
| SUITE 2000 | A 30309-3400 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ATLANTA, O | A 30307-3400 | | 1634 DATE MAILED: 03/04/2002 | 10 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/04/2002 | (0) |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/479,040

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Cannon et al.

1655

Advisory Action Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED <u>Feb 25, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

| ejecti Illowa | fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final ion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|-------------------|---|
| ncl) | THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] |
| a) | $\overline{\chi}$ The period for reply expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| Ы | In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| ext apj set | tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally t in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1. 🗆 | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. 🗆 | The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. |
| 3. 🗶 | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); |
| (b) | X they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) | they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: See attached sheet |
| | |
| 4. 🗆 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 5. 🗆 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 5. ^[] | The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 7. 🗆 | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 8. 🗷 | For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-6, 9, and 11-14 |
| 9. 🗆 | The proposed drawing correction filed on a) \square has b) \square has not been approved by the Examiner. |
| o. 🗆 | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| _ | |
| 1. 🗆 | Other: |

The proposed amendment will not be entered because the amended claims, especially the phrases, "and that has 3-keto--acyl-CoA reductase activity higher for D-isomers of C6 carbon chains than for C4 carbon chains", and "that has polyhydroxyalkanoate synthase activity" raise new issues that require further consideration and search and also raise issue of new matter.

W. Gary Jones
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600